Member Workshop Notes Appendix 7

Conservative Budget Workshop 6 November 2013

General Comments

- Do not think the efficiency proposals with a relatively minor financial saving should be discussed at the expense of spending time considering the bigger items.
- The capital proposals should be re-ordered in order to make it clear how much money is being invested in particular areas / functions.
- It was noted that the IT reserve is not now being topped up for future investment which is why the IT investment proposals are on the list.
- Officers were encouraged to look into options for shared working and outsourcing with all services to be included in the consideration.
- The Leader announced that consideration needs to be given to charging for car parks at leisure facilities (with users refunded when they use the facilities)

Ref No	Description of Proposal	Comments from Conservative Members
E1	Winter closedown of Broadway Fountain	There was some support for this proposal while some Members were against. A suggestion was mad whether the Letchworth Area Committee could be asked to fund the provision of the fountain from its c budgets but there was concern this would set a dangerous precedent for all water features in the Distr Members thought the disbenefit of this proposal was not worth the relatively minor financial benefit.
	Cease the production of bin hangers to advertise service changes for Christmas and other holidays	Suggestion made that if approved for investigation would want to reserve the ability to revisit this again 2015/16 budget process to see if the proposed alternative delivery methodology is appropriate and sall There was concern that a form of electronic notification would not be suitable for older residents in the trial was suggested.
E3	Proposed incorporation of Hertfordshire CCTV partnership	There was some expectation that the estimated saving would be greater. Reassurance was provided saving could increase once the trading company is established and is able to attract new business.
	Undertaking a backlog enhancement capital programme for buildings to reduce pressure on maintenance budgets	No Comment
	Reduction in Grant paid over to the Parish, Town and Community Councils for the Council Tax Reduction Scheme	There was a difference of opinion on the magnitude of the impact this would have on the Parish, Towr Community Councils. Officers agreed to calculate the amount of increase each Council would need to tax rate by in order to collect the same total precept.
E6	Reduction in Area Committee discretionary grants by the same percentage as the reduction in the Council's Start Up Funding Assessment (currently estimated at 12% for 2014/15)	There was some support for this proposal.
E7	No further carry forward of unspent Area committee discretionary grant budgets	The Finance Portfolio holder reminded Members that carry forwards are considered each year on their although it is not expected this proposal will need to be implemented it will not be known until the end financial year when the amount of unspent funds is known.
Inco	me Generation	
I1	New crematorium in Wilbury Hills. Capital costs are not yet known until the business case has been developed and agreed but is circa £1m-£3m	Confirmation was sought on the cost of the business case. It was noted that the wording in the narrati proposal needs to make clear that it is not just the demand from Letchworth that is being considered in business case but the demand from the whole District.
L	<u> </u>	I

Member Workshop Notes Appendix 7

Ref No	Description of Proposal	Comments from Conservative Members
12	Increase in parking charges of 13.45% (lost years of inflation related increases)	Some Members were supportive of this proposal and noted that the District's car parks are cheap comother Districts and Towns. Other Members were not supportive of this proposal. Those that were not want to see a strategic review of the way parking services is being delivered and a full cost / benefit ar order to make an informed decision. The Portfolio holder noted that the proposal is effectively re-settli back to where the relative cost of parking fees were in 2010. He also suggested that consideration co to introducing variable time payment mechanisms in the parking machines. There was concern that a increase across all car parks in the District would have a detrimental impact in some areas. On this tholder reassured Members that the proposal is seeking agreement to a principle but that each tarriff w considered on a car park by car park basis.
13	Increase in parking charges of 3.2% (estimated inflation increase for 2014/15))	An alternative presentation of the proposal using a pro-rata 10p increase on all tariffs was suggested r % increase. It was also suggested that in order to make a business decision it is necessary to considered and increases should be made where there is adequate demand for parking and decreases considered when a car park is not well used. i.e. a blanket increase is not appropriate. It was agreed to the proposal needs to make clear the proposal is seeking an in principle agreement to an increase obudget and that tariffs will be considered on an individual car park basis to achieve this. Narrative also make clear that approval is only been sought for increases next year and not each year thereafter. We capital proposals for parking services were considered it was noted that income is necessary to fund to amount of investment required to maintain the car parks.
Reve	l nue Investment	
R1	Local Plan Production, Examination and Delivery	The Portfolio Holder noted that this is not discretionary and the Council must have a Local P
R2	Production of Neighbourhood Plans	
R3	Explore options for the provision of an Economic Development Officer	The Portfolio Holder noted that there are more than one potential partners to work with on this and the options will be explored. The Finance Portfolio Holder noted that the time is right to consider an Econic Development Officer now because of the changes to local government finance and that there is the sc influence the amount of business rates the Council is able to retain. Agreed that the narrative should to reflect this. It was also noted that the time is right to have a dedicated officer in the Council who is with the LEPs for the benefit of the District.
R4	Outdoor Sports Facility Study	
Capital Investment		
C43	Microsoft Enterprise Software Assurance	It was noted that the amount of investment required seems high. Open Source Software was suggest option to reduce costs.